UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,010	03/31/2004	Gotz-Peter Schindler	250484US	5252
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			SACKEY, EBENEZER O	
ALEXANDRIA	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/19/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

<u>></u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Assistant Commencer	10/813,010	SCHINDLER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	EBENEZER SACKEY	1624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be solid apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 July 2007</u> .						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and all accomposed are all accomposed and accomposed are all accomposed a	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Stion is required if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	£					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date I Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/813,010

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Claims

Claims 1-23 are pending.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 07/26/07 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,010

Art Unit: 1624

2. The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1-23 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maher et al., EP 0 938 463 for the reasons set forth in the previous office action mailed on 02/22/07 and in view of Maunders et al., (U.S.Patent Number 5,550,309).

Applicant's arguments filed 06/11/07 have been fully considered but they are not deemed persuasive. Applicants argue that the instant process is different from the process of Maher et al., in that Maher et al., does not teach the removal of very fine particles of dehydrogenation catalysts from gas mixture (A) or gas mixture (A') before these gas mixtures enter the zone in which they are partially oxidized and/or ammoxidized. In response, in deed, Maher et al., is silent on the removal of fine particles of catalyst before entering the ammoxidizing zone, however, such a feature is not considered to be a patentable distinction because it is well known in the art that fine particles of catalyst do mix with reactants and final products and thus, the removal of said fine catalyst particles before the ammoxidation zone can be considered as routine manipulation of process step. See *In re Aller* cited earlier. Again, there is no evidence of record to distinguish the process of Maher from the current process.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,010

Art Unit: 1624

Maher et al., and the current process all use similar starting materials and produces identical products. Applicants may need to provide a side-by-side comparison study to show unexpected results and/or yield or selectivity from the current process. Note Maunders et al., (U.S.Patent Number 5,550,309) which teaches that removal of catalyst particles from process stream is fairly routine.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to E. Sackey whose telephone number is (571) 272-0704.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson, can be reached on (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is

(571) 272-1600.

EOS

October 13, 2007

Jarne's O. Wilson

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1624, Group 1600

Technology Center 1